FBI REVIEW COMPLETED - 19 Aug 03

27 June 1946

MEMORANDUM FOR GENERAL VANDEWHERO

SUBJECT:

Comments by F.B.I. on C.I.G. 10

ENGLOSURE: Letter from Mr. J. Edgar Heaver, dated 25 June

The following comments and recommendations are submitted regarding the designated paragraphs of the enclosed letter:

In paragraph: The President's letter of 22 James y authorized the W.I.A. to plan, develop and coordinate "all Federal foreign intelligence activities * * * related to the national sequity." It is therefore proper for the H.I.A. to delagate this authority to the Director of Central Intelligence as worded in C.I.S. 10. Mr. Hoover's wording would precline the Director from coordinat ing any foreign intelligence activities, such as collection, research, enalysis and dissemination, conducted in the U.S. or its possessions. For example, research activities of State, Mar an Many in Washington would not be subject to the Director's coord . nation under Mr. Hoover's wording.

Recommendation: That puragraph 3 of C.I.G. 10 remain as written.

Ath navagraph: Mr. Heover's wording makes it clear that C.I.C. espiomage and dounter espienage operations will not be conducted within the U. S. or its possessions. This is proper, since per agraph 9 of the President's letter presinges N.I.A. and C.I.G. from making investigations inside the U.S. and its personsions. Moreover, it was intended that C.I.G. claudestine operations be conducted only in foreign countries.

Recommendations That Mr. Hoover's wording be accepted, with the addition of the word "organized" before "Federal".

1th personals Mr. Heaver is incorrect if he interprets the President's letter to mean that the only relations of M.I.A. with departments other than State, War and Mavy are for the furnishing to N.I.A. of intelligence information. As quoted above, the autherity of the W.I.A. covers "all Federal foreign intelligence astivities " " " related to the national security." It is true. however, that the N.I.A. samet use personnel of a department ether than State. War and Havy without permission of that demertment.

FBI REVIEW COMPLETED - 19 Aug 03

TOP SECRE

Becommendation: That the following phrase be added to the sentence in questions "as mutually agreed to by the Director of Central Intelligence and authorized departmental officials."

in this paragraph, but suggest that F.B.I. withdrawal be phased with C.I.G. ability to begin operations in Central and South America, and that the way be left open to use qualified F.B.I. personnel as mutually agreed upon.

"SIGNED"

JAMES S. LAY, JR. Secretary, E.I.A.

JSL: IH

TOP SECRET

Jum 25, 1946

STRICTLY CONTIDENTIAL BY SPROIAL MESERNOIR

Lieutenant General Royt S. Varnienberg Director Central Intelligence droup Rosm 2166 New War Department Building 21st and Virginia Avenue, N. W. Washington, D. C.

Dear General Vandenberg:

Intelligence dated June 20, 1946, entitled, "American of the Director of Central Central Intelligence." To this memorandum was attached a proposed Circot ve for the Mational Intelligence Authority detailing certain additional functions for the Director of Central Intelligence, including undertaking basic restance and analysis, conducting all fateral foreign espionage and counterespions e, and the conducting of federal monitoring of press and propaganda broadces a of foreign powers.

I desire to imitate my approval of this Directive with the exseption that the following changes be made in it. These changes are suggested for clarification purposes only.

I suggest that Section 3 should read: "In addition to the function specified in Paragraph 3b of the President's letter, the Miredor of Central Intelligence is hereby directed to not as the executive agent of this Authority in coordinating and supervising all federal intelligence activities performed outside the United States and its possessions relating to the national security in accordance with the overall policies and objectives established by this Authority."

It is suggested that Sub A under Section 4 should rend: *Confuc. all federal espionage and counterespionage operations outside the University and its possessions for the collection of foreign intelligence information required for the mational security.*

It is noted in the "Miscussion" which is carried as Appendix """ to the suggested Directive that the statement is made comparing the ment

Approved For Release 2003/09/02 : CIA-RDP80R01731R003560340316 GP

for one agency to handle world-wide goverage that, "This does not, of course, preclude the use of specialized departmental personnel under rigid central sentrel." It occurs to me that this statement is probably based upon an erroneous interpretation of the President's letter of January 22, 1945. It is my understanding from a reading of this Directive that authority is given to the Maticial Intelligence Authority to call upon the State, War, and Havy Departments to assign from time to time persons and facilities of their respective departments to work under the direction of the lirectal of Central Intelligence. As for other departments and agencies, the Directal merely calls for the furnishing by those agencies of "such intelligence information relating to the national security as is in their possession."

If this Directive is approved, it would appear to me that there would be no reason why the Federal Bureau of Investigation should not with draw as rapidly as possible from Jentral and South America. I would appreciate it very much if you would advise me as to whether or not you come a with this interpretation by me.

With best wishes ami kind regards,

Bincerely years,

"SIGNED"

J. EDGAR HOOVER